REMARKS

In the outstanding Final Official Action, claims 1-3 and 5-18 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Evans, for the reasons of record. In response, all of the independent claims have been amended in order to more particularly and precisely distinguish the instant invention over the cited and applied reference and it is respectfully submitted that these claims, and the remaining claims depending therefrom, are now clearly patentably distinguishable over Evans for the reasons detailed below.

More particularly, as summarized on page 3 of the instant application and as clearly and positively recited in the claims, the present invention is directed to a method and device for generating a medical report in which a plurality of pre-chosen findings and a separate medical report are simultaneously displayed, and at least one pre-chosen finding is automatically copied from the displayed pre-chosen findings into the summary section of the report upon an indication that it is desired that this finding be added to the summary section, thereby automatically generating the summary section. It is respectfully submitted that this combination of features, as now more precisely recited to define the simultaneous display of a plurality of pre-chosen

findings and a separate medical report, and automatically copying a selected pre-chosen finding into the summary section to automatically generate the summary section, is neither shown nor suggested in the cited and applied reference.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the currently-pending claims, as herein amended to more particularly and precisely recite the distinguishing features of the instant invention, are clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied reference. Accordingly, entry of this amendment, reconsideration of the rejection, and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven R. Biren, Reg. 26,531 Attorney

(914) 333-9630